

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/851,991	05/10/2001	Kazuo Hiraguchi	. Q63864	4134
. 7	590 05/30/2003			
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3213			EXAMINER	
			RENNER, CRAIG A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2652	
	•		DATE MAILED: 05/30/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/851,991

Applicant(s)

Hiraguchi et al.

Examiner

Craig A. Renner

Art Unit 2652



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	for Reply	
THE N	·	In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
 If the p If NO p Failure Any re 	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will app to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, caus ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	by and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. se the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 May 2	2003
2a) □	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This act	tion is non-final.
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex particle.	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-31</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>26-31</u>	is/are withdrawn from consideratio
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
	Claim(s)	
		is/are objected to.
		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement
	ition Papers	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re all accepted or bill objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: an approved by disapproved by the Examine
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to	to this Office action.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)∟	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority description application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the 	
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
a) □	7	
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
Attachm		F1010, 21120 22 212121 21 212121 2
1) No	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
2) No	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) 🔲 Inf	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/851,991

Art Unit: 2652

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of "Group I, claims 1-25" in Paper No. 7, filed 27 May 2003, is acknowledged. Accordingly, claims 26-31 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.142(b) as being drawn to one or more non-elected inventions/species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.
- 2. Upon further consideration, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I - FIGS. 1-4 and 6.

Species II - FIGS. 7-8.

Species III - FIG. 9.

Species IV - FIG. 10.

Species V - FIG. 11.

Species VI - FIG. 12.

Species VII - FIG. 13.

Species VIII - FIG. 14.

Species IX - FIG. 15(A-B).

Species X - FIG. 16.

Species XI - FIG. 17.

Species XII - FIG. 18.

Species XIII - FIG. 19.

Species XIV - FIG. 20.

Species XV - FIG. 21.

Species XVI - FIGS. 22-23.

Species XVII - FIG. 24.

Species XVIII - FIG. 25.

Species XIX - FIG. 26.

Species XX - FIG. 27.

Application/Control Number: 09/851,991

Art Unit: 2652

Page 3

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/851,991

Art Unit: 2652

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 C.F.R. §

1.143).

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 C.F.R.

§ 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(i).

5. Any inquiry concerning the above referenced application should be directed to the

examiner, Craig A. Renner, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0559, and whose facsimile

number is (703) 872-9314. The examiner can normally be reached Tuesday through Friday from

7:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. E.S.T.

Craig A. Renner Primary Evamine Page 4

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2652

CAR

May 29, 2003